

**Proceedings  
of  
10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on  
Webometrics, Informetrics  
and Scientometrics &  
15<sup>th</sup> COLLNET Meeting 2014**



September 3-5, 2014

Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany

**Edited by**

**Bernd Markscheffel • Daniel Fischer •  
Daniela Büttner • Hiltrun Kretschmer**



Elsevier  
Research Intelligence

**Proceedings  
of  
10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on  
Webometrics, Informetrics and Scientometrics &  
15<sup>th</sup> COLLNET Meeting 2014**

September 3-5, 2014  
Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany

Edited by  
**Bernd Markscheffel,  
Daniel Fischer,  
Daniela Büttner and  
Hiltrun Kretschmer**

Bernd Markscheffel,  
Daniel Fischer,  
Daniela Büttner and  
Hiltrun Kretschmer

Technische Universität Ilmenau  
Fakultät für Wirtschaftswissenschaften und Medien  
Institut für Wirtschaftsinformatik  
P.O. Box 100565  
98684 Ilmenau  
Germany

[bernd.markscheffel@tu-ilmenau.de](mailto:bernd.markscheffel@tu-ilmenau.de)  
[daniel.fischer@tu-ilmenau.de](mailto:daniel.fischer@tu-ilmenau.de)  
[daniela.buettner@tu-ilmenau.de](mailto:daniela.buettner@tu-ilmenau.de)  
[kretschmer.h@onlinehome.de](mailto:kretschmer.h@onlinehome.de)

Ilmenau, 2014

## Index

<b>Index</b> .....	v
<b>Invited Papers</b> .....	1
Eugene Garfield and Alexander Pudovkin .....	3
Journal Impact Factor Reflects Citedness of the Majority of the Journal Papers	
Liming Liang and Zhen Zhong .....	9
Uncited Papers, Uncited authors and Uncited Topics	
Weiping Yue .....	17
A Scientometric Study on Collaboration between Academia and Industry – Case studies of Chinese leading universities and companies	
Hildrun Kretschmer and Theo Kretschmer .....	21
Three-dimensional Visualization and Animation of Emerging Patterns by the Process of Self-Organization in Collaboration Networks	
I. K. Ravichandra Rao and K. S. Raghavan .....	49
Seven years of COLLNET Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management (2007 -2013)	
<b>Full Papers</b> .....	69
Amir Reza Asnafi and Maryam Pakdaman Naeini .....	71
A Survey on Collaboration rate of authors in producing Scientific Papers in Quarterly Journal of Information Technology Management during 2009-2014	
André Calero Valdez, Anne Kathrin Schaar, Tobias Vaegs, Thomas Thiele, Markus Kowalski, Susanne Aghassi, Ulrich Jansen, Wolfgang Schulz, Guenther Schuh, Sabina Jeschke and Martina Ziefle.....	77
Scientific Cooperation Engineering Making Interdisciplinary Knowledge Available within Research Facilities and to External Stakeholders	
Arshia Kaul, Sujit Bhattacharya, Shilpa and Praveen Sharma.....	87
Measuring Efficiency of Scientific Research	
Ashkan Ebadi and Andrea Schiffauerova .....	91
How do scientists collaborate? Assessing the impact of influencing factors	

Barbara S. Lancho Barrantes.....	103
Benefits of scientific collaboration	
Bernd Markscheffel and Johannes Schmidt.....	109
A Bibliometric Indicator for the Consideration of Time Related Aspects Following the Example of Twitters Influence Passivity Score	
Bharvi Dutt and Khaiser Nikam.....	111
International Collaboration in Solar Cell Research in India	
Carey Ming-Li Chen .....	121
The Application of Funding Acknowledgment on the Path Analysis of Knowledge Dissemination of Granted Researches	
Carlos Olmeda-Gómez, María Antonia Ovalle-Perandones, Juan Gorraiz and Christian Gumpenberger .....	129
Excellence, merit and research team size: a library and information science case study	
Chen Yue, Zhang Liwei, Wang Zhiqi, Liu Shengbo, Su Lixin and Hou Yu.....	139
Influential Bloggers and Active Bloggers on ScienceNet: An Analysis of Popular Blogs	
Chun Wang, ZhengYin Hu, Miaoling Chai and Hui Wang.....	145
Legal Status Prediction for US Patents on Thermocouples	
Divya Srivastava, Arvind Singh Kushwah and Mona Gupta.....	153
An Analysis of Collaboration Pattern of Indian S & T Papers (Published during 2005-09)	
Divya Srivastava, Arvind Singh Kushwah and Mona Gupta.....	163
Impact of Indian S&T Research Papers – Published during 2005-09: through Citation Analysis	
Divya Srivastava, Sandhya Diwakar and Ramesh Kundra .....	173
Current status of Medical research across the Countries: India, China and Brazil	
Farideh Osareh and Ismael Mostafavi.....	179
Visualizing the co-authorship relations in surgery discipline outputs among Iranian and Global cities	
Fatemeh Helaliyan Motlagh and Mohammad Hassanzadeh.....	191
Studying the status of knowledge management components in Petrochemical Companies (case study: South Pars Energy Economic Special Zone » Assalouyeh «)	
Fatemeh Nooshinfar, Aref Riahi and Elham Ahmadi.....	201
Study of Barriers to Scientific Collaboration of female Scientifics (Case Study of Iranian Women members of University of Tehran)	

Gayatri Paul and Swapan Deoghuria .....	209
Indian Journal of Physics: A scientometric analysis	
Grant Lewison and Richard Sullivan .....	217
Conflicts of Interest Statements on Biomedical Papers	
Hailong Wang and Minyu Wang .....	227
Core technology fields and innovation cooperation network of electric vehicle industry	
Hamideh Asadi and Mahsan Poorasadollahi.....	237
Structure and Evolution of Library and Information Science in the top Countries of Middle East in terms of Scientific Productions during the years of 1992-2012	
Hamzehali Nourmohammadi and Abdalsamad Keramatfar .....	247
The relation between the number of countries' Rich Files on the web and countries' economic development	
Hamzehali Nourmohammadi, Mahdi Keramatfar and Abdalsamad Keramatfar.....	257
Research in what fields? Determining Iran's research priorities according to their impact on economic development	
Handaru Jati.....	265
Weight of Webometrics Criteria using Entropy Method	
Hongfang Shao, Qi Yu and Zhiguang Duan .....	269
Detecting the milestones of epigenetics development from 2002 to 2013: a Scientometrics perspective	
Hou Haiyan, Zhao Nannan, ZhangShanshan, Liang Yongxia and Hu Zhigang .....	281
Characteristics of the development of NB converging technology	
Jiang Chunlin, Liu Xue and Zhang Liwei.....	293
Data Fetching and Group Characteristics Analysis Based on Sina Microblog	
Jiang Chunlin, Zhang Liwei and Liu Xue .....	301
Survey of the Editorial Board Members for Journals of Library and Information Science in China	
K. S. Raghavan and I. K. Ravichandra Rao .....	309
Mapping Engineering Research in India	
Leila Nemati-Anaraki and Roya Pournaghi .....	317
The Effect of Geographical Proximity on Organizational Knowledge Sharing	
Li Gu, Weichun Yan and Shule An.....	327
The Relationship between internet attention and market share of operation systems for personal computers	

Liu Xiaomin, Sun Yuan and He Jing .....	335
Impact of articles in non-English language journals – A bibliometric analysis of regional journals of China, Japan, France and Germany in Web of Science	
Lutz Bornmann, Moritz Stefaner, Felix de Moya Anegón and Rüdiger Mutz .....	345
Ranking and mapping of universities and research-focused institutions worldwide: The third release of www.excellencemapping.net	
M.H. Biglu and M. A-Farhangi.....	353
Infometrics analysis of Scientific-literature in Pediatrics obesity	
Marzieh Yari Zanganeh and Nadjla Hariri.....	359
Transactions Reports Analysis Islamic Azad University Marvdasht – branch website: A Case Study	
Marzieh Yari Zanganeh and Sedigheh Mohammad.....	367
Use of Six Sigma Concept in University Libraries: A Case Study of Fars province Medical Sciences Library University	
Masaki Nishizawa and Yuan Sun.....	373
How is scientific research reported in newspapers? – Comparison between press releases and two different national newspapers in Japan	
Meera and Surendra Kumar Sahu .....	381
Research Output of University College of Medical Science, University of Delhi: A Bibliometric Study	
Mohammad Hassanzadeh and Babak Akhgar.....	395
Relationship between Development Indicators and Contribution to the Science: Experiences from Iran	
Mursheda Begum and Grant Lewison.....	403
European cancer research publications, 2002-13	
Nabi Hasan and Mukhtiar Singh .....	413
Library and Information Science Research Output: A study based on Web of Science	
R. D. Shelton and T. R. Fade .....	427
Which Scientometric Indicators Best Explain National Performance of High-Tech Outputs?	
Roya Pournaghi and Leila Nemati-Anaraki .....	437
The Mutual Role of Scientometrics and Foresight	
S. L. Sangam, Devika Madalli and Uma Patil .....	449
Indicators to Measure Genetics Literature: A Comparative Study of Selected Countries	

Sandhya Diwakar and K. K. Singh .....	459
Analysis of the Financial Assistance to Non-ICMR Biomedical Scientists by Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) 2009 - 2013	
Shantanu Ganguly, P K Bhattacharya and Tanvi Sharma.....	465
Growth of Literature in Biofuels Research: A Resource Analysis	
Shilpa, Arshia Kaul and Sujit Bhattacharya.....	481
Salient Aspects of India's Publication activity	
Soheila Bagheri and Mohaddeseh Dokhtesmati.....	485
Comparative study of outputs and scientific cooperation of world's countries in Biomedical engineering field in Science Citation Index in the years 2002-2011 with an emphasis on co-authorship networks	
Tahereh Dehdarirad, Anna Villarroya and Maite Barrios.....	497
Women in Science and Higher Education: a bibliometric study	
Tariq Ashraf .....	507
Pattern of Research & Citations: A Study of Three Central Universities Located in Delhi-India	
Thuraiyappah Pratheepan and W.A. Weerasooriya .....	529
International research collaboration of Sri Lanka in the last 02 decades (1994 – 2013) based on the SCOPUS database	
Umut Al and Zehra Taşkın.....	539
Relationship between Economic Development and Intellectual Production	
Umut Al, İrem Soydal, Umut Sezen and Orçun Madran .....	549
The Impact of Turkey in the Library and Information Science Literature	
Vijayakumar M, Debojyoti Nath and Annapurna SM .....	559
A study on Indian collaboration among SAARC Countries using Webometrics Methods	
Wen-Yau Cathy Lin .....	569
Comparative Study of Journal Impact Factor and Self-Citation Across Asian International Journals	
Xianwen Wang, Wenli Mao and Chen Liu.....	575
Does The Open Access Advantage Exist? An Empirical Study on Citation and Article View Data	
Xiaoyu Zhu, Zeyuan Liu, Chaomei Chen and Haiyan Hou.....	581
Statistical analysis on interlocking directorate in Chinese listed companies	



Yang Zhongkai, Xu Mengzhen and Hanshuang .....	587
Measurement and Changing Trends of Originality Index Value – In view of NBER Patent Citation Database	
Yunwei Chen, Yong Deng, Fang Chen, Chenjun Ding, Ying Zheng and Shu Fang .....	597
A Co-author Based CCS Index Used for Evaluating Scientists’ Performance	
Zhao Qu, Xiling Shen and Kun Ding .....	609
Comparative Analysis on Technologies between Chinese and American Large-sized Oil Companies based on Patentometrics	
<b>Posters</b> .....	<b>619</b>
List of Accepted Posters.....	621

## **Invited Papers**

## Research in what fields? Determining Iran's research priorities according to their impact on economic development

Hamzehali Nourmohammadi\*, Mahdi Keramatfar\*\* and Abdalsamad Keramatfar\*\*\*

\*Shahed University, Tehran, Iran  
*nourmohammadi.h@gmail.com*

\*\*Tarbiyat Modares University, Tehran, Iran  
*mkeramatfar@gmail.com*

\*\*\*Scientometrics Section of SID, Tehran, Iran  
*keramatfar@mailfa.com*

### Introduction

The ability to assess a country's scientific situation is of pressing importance. Since all the sciences do not have the same degree of application (Berer, 2012) and in a particular time an economy can develop technology in a number of sections and it is difficult to predict which technologies would more beneficial (Kealey, 1996), determining research priorities is a very important issue for science and technology policy-makers (Lee et al, 2011). One of the Iran's attempts is the Country's Comprehensive Scientific Plan document that in the third season determines the country's scientific and technological priorities. On the other hand, economic issues have to be deal with effectively in making any decision related to science and technology (Salter 2001). It is also of highest importance to decide which fields are economically worth investing. Ray and Lal (2000) suggest that developed countries should investment in basic research and developing countries should invest in education, infrastructures, and engineering because these fields have the biggest impact on economic development. Vinkler (2008) holds out the effect of development level on researches' outputs and argues that the relation between economic development and researches' outputs differs in different countries; in developed countries there is no significant relation between economic development and researches's outputs while in central and Eastern European countries there is more significant relation; he argues that developed countries are more capable of supporting basic researches, therefore, their researches includes basic researches and deals less with future researches. Chuang et al. (2010) indicated that the research areas in which Singapore, Taiwan, and South Korea have been working during the last decade have been engineering areas. Newly industrializing countries, especially South Korea and Taiwan, have been focusing on understanding and spreading the existing technology rather than producing new technology. Moreover, Japan's policy of science and technology is increasingly concerned with technologies with economic importance. Kealey (1996) argues that concentration on basic science is not effective in advancing technology. Since Iran is a developing country, and due to the presence of oil resources, research expenses may be directed toward unimportant areas that have the least impact on economic development. Thus, the present paper aims to determine which research area will have the most central effects on the country's economic development.

### Research purposes

The main objective of this study is to determine of Iran's research priorities according to their impact on economic development.

Other objects of this research include:

- Quantity in science productions in countries' subject areas
- Quantity of GDP during different years
- Determining of relation between the country's different subject areas of science production and GDP
- The majors of the greatest impact on GDP in engineering field

### Theatrical Framework

Today assessment of scientific papers is performed based on Citation Indexes that collect bibliography information because these Indexes provide Ability to identify and recover valid information of various subject areas and citation information that link the work to other works, and To a large extent reflects the impact of the paper(Noroozi Chakoli, 2011). The most important of these indexes are Web of Knowledge and Scopus. In 2007 Scimago Research Group offered a tool based on Scopus data that provide ability to study and comparison of scientific production in two main Unit, countries and journals. This tool divides all scientific papers to 320 disciplines and 27 areas that provide ability to subjective analysis.

There is a broad literature in studying the relation between science and technology. Price(1967) stated that academic researches Create a generation of researches and future researches of these researchers and will cause economic prosperity also basic researches that usually performs by universities are input of R&D activities. Jaffe(1989) showed that academic researches improve industrial R&D. in fact providing basic research spending by government, many industrials do not pay for basic research in development of technology and they will be able to use it, thus social benefits will result. Diamond(1996) stated that science is Leader of Technology and technology will lead to productivity and growth. Narin et al(1997) studied citation in patents to scientific papers and showed that this type of citation grew and concluded that Technology is based on science. Mansfield et al(1991) studied new goods and process and stated that 11% of new product and 9% of new process could not be improved without academic research. Martin et al (1996) stated the various types of contributions that publicly funded research makes to economic growth:

1. Increasing the stock of useful knowledge;
2. Training skilled graduates;
3. Creating new scientific instrumentation and methodologies;
4. Forming networks and stimulating social inter- action;
5. Increasing the capacity for scientific and technological problem-solving;
6. Creating new firms.

On other hand, some of R&D researches publish a paper of their work in scientific journals, so assessment of papers can obvious economic activities in R&D sectors. Overall Evidences show that publicly funded basic research have many benefits (Salter&Martin, 2001).

One of the common tests in econometrics is Granger causality test. In The Granger causality test for testing the hypothesis; "(X<sub>t</sub>) is not Granger cause of (Y<sub>t</sub>)" a (VAR) model is formed:

$$Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i X_{t-i} + u_t$$

So this linear model is estimated and the significant assumption is tested. If the assumption coefficients of  $X_{t-i}$  i.e.  $\beta_i$  being zero Confirm then  $X_t$  is not Granger cause of  $Y_t$ . In fact if the being zero assumption of test is rejected  $X_t$  is cause of  $Y_t$ . Since there is a time gap between

publication and their impact (King, 2004), here we test the impact of science on welfare with a lag.

### Methodology

This study is applied and descriptive - based and due to its use of Scientometric methods. The data related to the country's scientific production were extracted from Scimago data base, Country Search section. Data related to GDP were extracted from the World Bank's data base. In order to analyze the data Eviews7 was employed and stationary and Granger test were administered. The data were gathered early in December 2013.

### Findings

First Data is an indication of the country's science production from 1996 to 2012 in Scimago data base. As is seen, medical science has the highest share, engineering and chemistry rank second and third.

**Table1. Number of scientific production of Iran in different subjects 1996-2011**

<i>Subject Area</i>	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Agricultural and Biological Sciences	78	84	83	119	129	137	227	274	368	513	1134	1597	1846	2088	2574	3686
Arts and Humanities	4	4	2	2	1	7	2	4	4	17	25	32	46	75	76	127
Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology	70	80	82	115	131	182	244	337	449	558	816	1256	1474	1666	2009	2824
Business, Management and Accounting	4	2	6	4	6	1	4	8	13	17	26	33	77	108	153	217
Chemical Engineering	51	74	72	86	114	135	181	229	320	454	612	792	939	1142	1457	1987
Chemistry	142	168	236	316	363	502	616	838	1	1271	1515	1931	2155	2622	3016	3605
Computer Science	40	53	54	56	79	90	115	219	277	412	518	648	101	1117	139	1956
Decision Sciences	12	14	15	8	17	11	17	16	29	57	72	93	146	212	238	276
Dentistry	2	-	1	3	9	5	9	19	22	22	32	63	83	116	117	137
Earth and Planetary Sciences	28	45	35	36	67	64	85	148	161	204	263	334	337	537	601	807
Economics, Econometrics and Finance	2	-	2	1	2	2	2	4	2	4	10	9	16	32	69	150
Energy	16	27	22	22	17	24	60	75	97	102	167	208	325	404	580	873
Engineering	133	163	161	176	245	331	464	766	1028	1106	1471	1687	2125	3554	4293	5761
Environmental Science	26	33	33	41	45	62	109	136	197	245	347	583	693	1031	1281	2131
Health Professions	1	-	1	3	1	7	5	12	35	41	52	58	63	62	82	107

<i>Subject Area</i>	<i>1996</i>	<i>1997</i>	<i>1998</i>	<i>1999</i>	<i>2000</i>	<i>2001</i>	<i>2002</i>	<i>2003</i>	<i>2004</i>	<i>2005</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>
Immunology and Microbiology	19	22	23	33	41	50	72	114	117	171	263	325	412	446	688	898
Materials Science	63	86	88	109	144	208	277	405	528	711	937	1103	1619	2061	2599	3412
Mathematics	47	71	78	107	111	137	186	247	407	559	697	900	952	1299	157	2206
Medicine	124	188	165	161	194	276	480	720	827	1545	2346	305	3818	4499	5359	6684
Multi-disciplinary	11	12	14	23	22	13	33	30	62	48	138	216	511	620	522	1665
Neuroscience	10	10	9	15	17	22	31	45	56	67	107	156	176	196	218	309
Nursing	-	-	1	3	4	1	5	3	12	24	33	58	108	108	96	146
Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics	31	48	66	73	72	58	110	117	198	237	332	419	440	647	775	1169
Physics and Astronomy	64	77	109	115	133	148	234	283	420	472	809	103	1357	1675	1939	2577
Psychology	-	2	2	7	10	8	12	23	19	21	31	42	45	48	307	820
Social Sciences	10	5	8	8	11	9	29	48	48	75	106	150	190	306	653	1761
Veterinary	28	22	27	25	30	21	31	52	64	87	143	151	337	310	378	512

Second Data set shows the Iran's GDP from 1996 to 2011.

**Table2. GDP per capita of Iran 1996-2011**

<i>year</i>	<i>GDP per capita (current US\$)</i>	<i>year</i>	<i>GDP per capita (current US\$)</i>	<i>year</i>	<i>GDP per capita (current US\$)</i>	<i>year</i>	<i>GDP per capita (current US\$)</i>
1996	1799.672	2004	2353.931	2000	1536.715	2008	4899.312
1997	1683.634	2005	2737.112	2001	1726.63	2009	4931.283
1998	1611.308	2006	3140.198	2002	1718.965	2010	5674.924
1999	1613.599	2007	3983.582	2003	1975.539	2011	6815.57

Third Data includes the results of Granger's causal test for the country's different subject areas of science production, yellow cells indicate significance at the level of 0.05 and green cells indicate significance at the level of 0.01. As is observed, nursing has had the greatest impact on GDP, and at the same time, nursing has been influenced most by GDP.

**Table3. Causality test in between different subject areas and GDP**

<i>causality</i>		
<i>Causality direct</i>	<i>Science production to GDP</i>	<i>GDP to Science production</i>
Agricultural and Biological Sciences	0.4669	0.2276
Arts and Humanities	0.0163	0.0304
Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology	0.0673	0.0327
Business, Management and Accounting	0.0064	0.1396

<i>causality</i>		
<i>Causality direct</i>	<i>Science production to GDP</i>	<i>GDP to Science production</i>
Chemical Engineering	0.0704	0.2858
Chemistry	0.0253	0.1613
Computer Science	0.7136	0.212
Decision Sciences	0.0513	0.0112
Dentistry	0.0499	0.0822
Earth and Planetary Sciences	0.0166	0.6185
Economics, Econometrics and Finance	0.016	0.1455
Energy	0.0564	0.0181
Engineering	0.0024	0.4192
Environmental Science	0.0784	0.0134
Health Professions	0.9895	0.0412
Immunology and Microbiology	0.1873	0.4948
Materials Science	0.0283	0.2405
Mathematics	0.3154	0.0369
Medicine	0.2462	0.0697
Multidisciplinary	0.0052	0.0098
Neuroscience	0.2163	0.0198
Nursing	0.0002	0.0029
Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics	0.0284	0.5019
Physics and Astronomy	0.1291	0.0168
Psychology	0.1354	0.2268
Social Sciences	0.0042	0.0292
Veterinary	0.0223	0.0182

As was mentioned before, each of the 27 separated areas in Scimago includes different majors, in engineering field such a separation has been carried out. Table 4 indicates the result of causal test for different engineering majors. Table 4 shows that eco-medicine engineering, civil engineering, system and supervising engineering, industry and production engineering at the level of 0.01, and mechanical engineering, material mechanics, and science of material at the level of 0.05 have impact on GDP.

**Table4. Causality test for different engineering areas**

<i>Subject Area</i>	<i>Impact on GDP</i>	<i>Impact of GDP</i>
Aerospace Engineering	0.41	0.16
Architecture	0.32	0.001
Automotive Engineering	0.8	0.08
Bioengineering	0.0009	0.13
Construction	0.59	0.22
Civil and Structural Engineering	0.003	0.17

Computational Mechanics	0.06	0.86
Control and Systems Engineering	0.008	0.12
Electrical and Electronic Engineering	0.25	0.51
Engineering (miscellaneous)	0.38	0.42
Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering	0.008	0.04
Mechanical Engineering	0.02	0.006
Mechanical Engineering	0.04	0.1
Media Technology	0.92	0.42
Ocean Engineering	0.99	0.0041
Safety, Risk, Reliability and Quality	0.29	0.007
Chemical Engineering	0.0704	0.2858
Computer Science	0.7136	0.212
Material science	0.0283	0.2405

## Conclusion

The major's eco-medicine engineering, civil engineering, system and supervising engineering, industry and production engineering at the level of 0.01 and the major's mechanical engineering, material mechanics, and science of material at the level of 0.05 have impact on GDP. In other words, these majors should have research priority in Iran. Of course, it should be mentioned that since industry and production engineering and mechanical engineering are affected by GDP, it might mean that these sections have been financed. Being affected by GDP presented above could be analyzed in this way: if an increase in GDP has had effects on a group or a major, it probably means that GDP increase has been accompanied by budget increase in that group or major, therefore, if the reverse relation, i.e. the effectiveness of that group or major in GDP is not significant, continuing to increase the budget for that group or major cannot be justified. Consequently, in engineering group majors like architecture engineering and safety engineering do involve the risk and problem just mentioned and therefore investing in these sectors is not justifiable.

## References

- Vinkler, P. (2008). "Correlation between the structure of scientific research, scientometric indicators and GDP in EU and non-EU countries". *Scientometrics*, 74(2). pp. 237-254.
- Narin, F., Hamilton, K., Olivastro, D., 1997. The linkages between US technology and public science. *Research Policy* 26, 317–330.
- Lee, Ling-chu. Lin, Pin-hua. Chung, Yun-wen. Lee, Yi-yang. (2011). "Research output and economic output: a Granger causality test". *Scientometrics*, 89(2). pp 465-478.
- Borer, Kealey. (2012). The state is an enemy of science: a review of terence kealey's the economic laws of scientific research. *Libertarian papers*, 4(2). Pp 89-96.
- Terence Kealey. *The Economic Laws of Scientific Research*. London: Macmillan, 1996.



- SALTER, A. J., B. R. MARTIN, The economic benefits of publicly funded basic research: A critical review, *Research Policy*, 30 (3) (2001) 509–532.
- Godin, B. and Doré, C. (2004) ‘Measuring the Impacts of Science: Beyond the Economic Dimension,’ CSIIIC Working Paper.
- Rai, L. P., & Lal, K. (2000). Indicators of the information revolution. *Technology in Society*, 22, 221–235.
- Chuang, Y. W., Lee, L. C., Hung, W. C., & Lin, P. H. (2010). Forgoing into the innovation lead—A comparative analysis of scientific capacity. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 14(3), 511–529.
- Diamond Jr, A.M. (1996), ‘The economics of science’, Special Issue of The International Journal of Knowledge Transfer and Utilization, 9, 3–49.
- Jaffe, A., 1989. Real effects of academic research. *American Economic Review* 79, 957–970.
- Price, Derek J. De Solla., 1967, “Nations can publish or perish”, *Science and Technology*. 70. pp.84-90.
- Mansfield, E. et al., 1991. Academic research and industrial innovation. *Research Policy* 20, 1–12.
- Martin, B., Salter, A., Hicks, D., Pavitt, K., Senker, J., Sharp, M., Von Tunzelmann, N., 1996. The Relationship Between Publicly Funded Basic Research and Economic Performance: *A SPRU Review*. HM Treasury, London.
- Noroozi Chakoli, Abdoreza. 2011. Introduction to Scientometrics (Principles, concepts, relations and roots). Tehran: SAMT.