Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Discrete Applied Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

## Note A new lower bound on the double domination number of a graph

## Majid Hajian<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nader Jafari Rad<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 November 2017 Received in revised form 8 June 2018 Accepted 9 June 2018 Available online 30 June 2018

*Keywords:* Domination number Double domination number

### ABSTRACT

A subset *S* of vertices of a graph *G* is a double dominating set of *G* if every vertex in V(G) - S has at least two neighbors in *S* and every vertex of *S* has a neighbor in *S*. The double domination number  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a double dominating set of *G*. Chellali (2006) showed that if *T* is a nontrivial tree of order *n*, with  $\ell$  leaves and *s* support vertices, then  $\gamma_{\times 2}(T) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3$ . In this paper we generalize the above lower bound for any connected graph. We show that if *G* is a connected graph of order  $n \ge 2$  with  $k \ge 0$  cycles,  $\ell$  leaves and *s* support vertices, then  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ . We also characterize all graphs achieving equality for this new bound.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

For notation and terminology not given here we refer to [5]. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with vertex set V of order n and edge set E. The *open neighborhood* of a vertex  $v \in V$  is  $N(v) = \{u \in V : uv \in E\}$  and the *closed neighborhood* of v is  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The *degree* of v is deg(v) = |N(v)|. A vertex of degree one is referred as a *leaf* and its unique neighbor is called a *support vertex*. The set of all leaves of a graph G is denoted by L(G), and the set of all support vertices of a graph G is denoted by S(G). A *strong support vertex* is a support vertex adjacent to at least two leaves, while a *weak support vertex* is a support vertex adjacent to precisely one leaf. A *cactus graph* is a graph such that no pair of cycles have a common edge. A subset  $S \subseteq V$  is a *dominating set* of G if every vertex not in S is adjacent to a vertex in S. The *domination number* of G, denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G.

A subset *S* of vertices of a graph *G* is a *double dominating set*, abbreviated DDS, of *G* if every vertex in V(G) - S has at least two neighbors in *S* and every vertex of *S* has a neighbor in *S*, that is,  $|N[v] \cap S| \ge 2$  for any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . The *double domination number*  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a double dominating set of *G*. A double dominating set of *G* with minimum cardinality is called a  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$ -set. Double domination was introduced by Harary and Haynes [4] and further studied in, for example, [1–3,6].

## Observation 1 (Chellali [2]). Every DDS of a graph contains all its leaves and support vertices.

Chellali [2] showed that if *T* is a tree of order *n* with  $\ell$  leaves and *s* support vertices, then  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$  is bounded below by  $(2n + \ell - s + 2)/3$ . He then characterized trees achieving equality for the above bound. For this purpose he introduced a family of trees as follows. Let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the class of all trees  $T = T_k$  that can be obtained as follows. Let  $T_1 = P_2 = uv$  and  $A(T_1) = \{u, v\}$ . If  $k \ge 2$ , then  $T_{i+1}$  can be obtained recursively from  $T_i$  by one of the following operations.

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2018.06.009 0166-218X/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







E-mail addresses: majid\_hajian2000@yahoo.com (M. Hajian), n.jafarirad@gmail.com (N.J. Rad).

**Operation**  $\mathcal{O}_1$ : Attach a vertex *z* by joining it to any support of  $T_i$ . Let  $A(T_{i+1}) = A(T_i) \cup \{z\}$ .

**Operation**  $\mathcal{O}_2$ : Attach a path  $P_3 = abc$  by joining c to any vertex d of  $A(T_i)$  with the condition that if d is a leaf of  $T_i$  then its support vertex is not strong in  $T_i$ . Let  $A(T_{i+1}) = A(T_i) \cup \{a, b\}$ .

**Theorem 2** (*Chellali* [2]). If T is a nontrivial tree of order n, with  $\ell$  leaves and s support vertices, then  $\gamma_{\times 2}(T) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3$ , with equality if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{G}_0$ .

In this paper we generalize the above result. We present a lower bound for the double domination number of any connected graph *G*. We show that if *G* is a connected graph of order  $n \ge 2$  with  $k \ge 0$  cycles,  $\ell$  leaves and *s* support vertices, then  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ , and we characterize all graphs achieving equality for this new bound.

For a graph *G*, we denote by n(G), s(G),  $\ell(G)$  and k(G), the order, the number of support vertices, the number of leaves and the number of cycles of *G*, respectively.

### 2. Families of graphs

For a graph *G*, a  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$ -set *S* is called a *special*  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$ -set if *S* contains at least two vertices *x* and *y* namely *special vertices* of *S* such that *x* and *y* are joined by a unique path of *G*, and if *x* or *y* is a leaf of *G* then its support vertex is not a strong vertex in *G*.

For any positive integer k, we define a sequence  $H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_k$  of graphs as a *special sequence* as follows. Let  $H_0$  be a tree obtained from a path  $P_2 : xy$  and k 3-path  $P^i : a^i b^i c^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , by joining x to each  $a^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , we build the graph  $H_i$ , recursively, from  $H_{i-1}$  as follows. Let  $H_i$  be obtained from a  $H_{i-1}$  by adding a new vertex and joining it to both  $b^i$  and  $c^i$ .

**Remark 3.** It is easy to see that  $H_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , and  $S_0 = V(H_0) - \bigcup_{j=1}^k \{a^j\}$  is a  $\gamma_{\times 2}(H_i)$ -set for each  $0 \le i \le k$ . Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, ..., k, b^i$  and  $c^i$  are two special vertices of  $H_{i-1}$ , and thus  $S_0$  is a special  $\gamma_{\times 2}(H_{i-1})$ -set.

We now introduce some families of graphs. Let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the families of trees described in Section 1. For i = 1, ..., k, we construct a family  $\mathcal{G}_i$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ , recursively, by the following Procedure.

• **Procedure A**: For each *i* with  $1 \le i \le k$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_i$  be the family of all graphs  $G_i$  such that  $G_i$  can be obtained from a graph  $G_{i-1} \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$  with a special  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G_{i-1})$ -set  $S_{i-1}$  by adding a new vertex and joining it to precisely two special vertices of  $S_{i-1}$ . Note that the existence of a graph  $G_{i-1} \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$  with a special  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G_{i-1})$ -set  $S_{i-1}$  is guaranteed, since the graph  $H_{i-1}$  described in Remark 3 is one of such graphs.

The following observation follows from the definitions.

**Observation 4.** For  $k \ge 0$ , every graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$  contains exactly k cycles.

It is also worth noting that any graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$  for  $k \ge 0$  is a cactus graph.

## 3. New lower bound

In this section we present our main result. We give a lower bound for the double domination number of a connected graph *G* in terms of the number of cycles of *G*, and then characterize all connected graphs achieving equality for the proposed bound.

**Theorem 5.** If G is a connected graph of order  $n \ge 2$  with  $k \ge 0$  cycles,  $\ell$  leaves and s support vertices, then  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ , with equality if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ .

**Proof.** Let *G* be a connected graph of order *n*, with  $k \ge 0$  cycles,  $\ell$  leaves and *s* support vertices. We use an induction on *k* to show that  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$  with equality if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ . For the base step of the induction let k = 0. Then *G* is a tree, and the result follows by Theorem 2. Assume the result holds for all connected graphs *G'* of order *n'* with 0 < k' < k cycles *l'* leaves and *s'* support vertices (that is,  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G') \ge (2n' + \ell' - s' + 2)/3 - 2k'/3$ , with equality if and only if  $G' \in \mathcal{G}_{k'}$ ). Now consider the connected graph *G* of order *n* with  $k \ge 1$  cycles.

We first show that  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ . Let *S* be a  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$ -set, and  $C = u_1u_2...u_ru_1$  be a cycle of *G*. If  $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_r\} \subseteq S$ , then *S* is a double dominating set of the graph  $G' = G - u_1u_2$ , and thus by the inductive hypothesis,  $|S| \ge \gamma_{\times 2}(G') \ge (2n + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2k(G')/3$ . Clearly  $l - s \le \ell(G') - s(G')$  and  $k(G') \le k - 1$ . Thus  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2(k - 1)/3 > (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ . Next assume that  $u_j \notin S$  for some  $1 \le j \le r$ . By Observation 1,  $u_j$  is not a support vertex of *G*. Let  $G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_w$  be the components of  $G - u_j$ . Clearly  $S \cap V(G'_i)$  is a double dominating set for  $G'_i$ , for each  $1 \le i \le w$ . Furthermore,  $n(G'_i) \ge 2$ , for each  $1 \le i \le w$ , since  $u_j$  is not a support vertex of *G*. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis,  $|S| \ge \sum_{i=1}^w ((2n(G'_i) + \ell(G'_i) - s(G'_i) + 2)/3 - 2k(G'_i)/3)$ . Observe that  $\ell - s \le \sum_{i=1}^w \ell(G'_i) - s(G'_i)$  and  $k - 1 \ge \sum_{i=1}^w k(G'_i)$ . Thus  $|S| \ge (2(n - 1) + \ell - s + 2w)/3 - 2(k - 1)/3 \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ , and consequently  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ . (Note that it is easy to see that if  $w \ge 2$ , then we have  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) > (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ .)

We next show that  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) = (2n+\ell-s+2)/3-2k/3$  if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ . Assume that  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) = (2n+\ell-s+2)/3-2k/3$ . Let S be a  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$ -set, and  $C = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r u_1$  be a cycle of G. According to the first part of the proof, we have  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\} \not\subseteq S$ . Thus there is an integer j with  $1 \le j \le r$  such that  $u_i \notin S$ . Suppose that  $\deg_G(u_i) \ge 3$ . Clearly  $u_i$  is not a support vertex in G by Observation 1. Let  $G' = G - u_i$ . According to the first part of the proof G' contains only one connected component. Notice that  $S \cap G'$  is a double dominating set of the graph G'. By the inductive hypothesis,  $|S| > (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2k(G')/3$ . Clearly l-s < l(G') - s(G') and k-2 > k(G'). Thus  $|S| > (2(n-1)+\ell-s+2)/3 - 2(k-2)/3 > (2n+\ell-s)/3 - 2(k-2)/3$  and so  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n+\ell-s+2)/3-2k/3+2/3$ , a contradiction. Thus deg<sub>G</sub>( $u_i$ ) = 2. Evidently,  $\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} \subseteq S$ , since S is double dominating set of G and  $u_i \notin S$ . Let  $G' = G - u_i$ . Clearly S is a double dominating set of the graph G'. Then by the inductive hypothesis,  $|S| \ge (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2k(G')/3$ . Suppose that  $|S| > (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2k(G')/3$ . Clearly  $\ell - s \le (\ell(G') - s(G'))$  and  $k(G') \le k - 1$ . Then  $|S| > (2(n-1) + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2(k-1)/3 \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ and so  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) > (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|S| = (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2k(G')/3$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $G' \in \mathcal{G}_{k(G')}$ . Suppose that  $u_{i-1}$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are joined by at least two paths of G'. Then clearly  $k(G') \leq k-2$ . Now  $|S| \ge (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2(k-2)/3$  and so  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \ge (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3 + 2/3$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u_{i-1}$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are joined by a unique path of G'. Thus clearly k(G') = k - 1, and so  $G' \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}$ . If  $\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} \cap L(G') = \emptyset$ , then  $u_{i-1}$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are two special vertices of S and so S is a special  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G')$ -set. Thus G is obtained from G' and  $u_i$  by Procedure A, and consequently  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ , as desired. Thus assume that  $\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} \cap L(G') \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $u_{i+1} \in L(G')$ . Then clearly  $u_{i+2}$  is a support vertex of G'. Suppose that  $u_{i+2}$  is a strong support vertex of G'. Then clearly  $\ell - s < \ell(G') - s(G')$  and so  $|S| = (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2(k-1)/3 > (2(n-1) + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2(k-1)/3 = (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3.$ Thus  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) > (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ , a contradiction. We deduce that  $u_{i+2}$  is not a strong support vertex of G'. Similarly  $u_{i-2}$  is not a strong support vertex of G' if  $u_{i-1} \in L(G')$ . Thus  $u_{i-1}$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are two special vertices of S and so S is a special  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G')$ -set. Consequently, G is obtained from G' by adding the vertex  $u_i$  according to the Procedure A. Consequently,  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ . For the converse let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ . Thus G is obtained from a graph  $G' \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}$ , by the Procedure A. Let S' be the special  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G')$ -set that used to produce G. Notice that G' contains exactly k-1 cycles by Observation 4. By the inductive hypothesis  $|S'| = (2n(G') + \ell(G') - s(G') + 2)/3 - 2(k-1)/3$ . Clearly  $\ell - s = \ell(G') - s(G')$  and thus  $|S'| = (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3$ .

Evidently, S' is a double dominating set of G. Since by Observation 4, G contains exactly k cycles, by the first part of the proof,  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) = (2n + \ell - s + 2)/3 - 2k/3.$ 

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank both referees for their helpful comments.

#### References

- [1] M. Blidia, M. Chellali, T.W. Haynes, M.A. Henning, Independent and double domination in trees, Util. Math. 70 (2006) 159–173.
- [2] M. Chellali, A note on the double domination number in trees, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb. 3 (2) (2006) 147–150.
- [3] J. Harant, M.A. Henning, On double domination in graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 25 (2005) 29–34.
- [4] F. Harary, T.W. Haynes, Double domination in graphs, Ars Combin. 55 (2000) 201–213.
- [5] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [6] C. Sivagnanam, Double domination number and connectivity of graphs, Int. J. Digit. Inf. Wirel. Commun. 2 (1) (2012) 40–45.