

18. Raitmann R., Angleitner A., Strelau J. (1997) Genetic and environmental influences on personality: A study of Twins related together using the self- and peer report NEO-FFI scales. *Journal of Personality*, 3, 449-475.

19. Derogotis L.R. (1993) BSI Brief Symptom Inventory. Administration, scoring and procedures manual. Minneapolis: National computer systems.

20. Deragotis, L.R. (1993) SCL-90-R: Administration, scoring and procedures, Manual II Baltimore: Clinical Psychometric Research, P: 1-20.

21. Krejgie, R. V. & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determinating sample size for research activities. *Educational Psychological Measurement*, 40, 670-610.

22. Costa, P.T., Jr & McCrae, R.R. (1992) The NEO-PI-R professional manual. Odessa, Florida: Psychological Assessment Resources.

24. Helmstadter, G.C. (1964). Principles of psychological measurements. New York: Appleton - Century - Crofts.

29. Bland J.M., & Altman D.G. (1995) Multiple significance tests: the Bonfroni method. *British Medical Journal*, 310 170.

30. Ackerman P., & Heggstad E. (1997) Intelligence, personality and interests: Evidence for overlapping traits. *Psychological Bulletin*, 121, 219-245.

31. Ferguson E., & Patterson F. (1998) The five factor model of personality: Openness a distinct but related construct. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 12, 773-790.

2. Costa P.T., Jr & McCrae R.R. (1992) Reply to Eysenck. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 13, 861-865.

3. Norman W.T. (1963) Toward an adequate taxonomy of personality attributes: Replicated factor structure in peer nomination personality ratings. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 66, 574-583.

4. Avia M.D., Sanches-Benardos, S. M. L., Martinez-Arias, M. R. M., Silva, F. & Grana, J. L. (1995) The five factor model- II. Relations of the NEO-PI with other personality variables. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 19, 81-97.

5. Goldberg L.R. (1993) The structure of phenotypic personality traits. *American Psychologist*, 48, 26-34.

6. Panayiotou G., Kokkinos C.M., & Spanoudis G. (2004) Searching for "Big Five" in a Greek context: the NEO-FFI under the microscope.

7. Yoshimura K., Ono Y., Nakamura K., Nathan J., Suzuki K. (2001) Validation of the Japanese version of the NEO five factor inventory in a large community sample. *Psychological Reports*, 88, 443-449.

8. Schmitz N., Hartkamp N., Baldini C., Rollnik J, & Tress W. (2001) Psychometric properties of the German version of the NEO-FFI in psychosomatic outpatients. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 31, 713-722.

9. Holden R.R. (1992) Associations between the Holden psychological screening inventory in a nonclinical sample. *Psychological Reports*, 1039-1042.

10. Holden R.R. & Fekken G.C. (1994) The NEO five factor inventory in a Canadian context: Psychometrics properties for a sample of university women. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 17, 441-444.

11. Egan V., Deary I., & Austin E. (2000) The NEO-FFI: Emerging British norms and an item- level analysis suggests N, A, and C are more reliable than O and E. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 29, 907-920.

12. Nunnally J. C., & Bernstein I. H. (1994) *Psychometric theory*. 3rded. Newyork: McGraw-Hill.

13. Mooradian T.A., & Nezlek J.B. (1995) Comparing the NEO- FFI and Saucier 's Mini Markers as measures of the Big Five. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 21,213-215.

14. McCrae R.R., & Costa P.T., Jr. (1989) Rotation to maximize the construct validity of factors in the NEO Personality Inventory. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 24, 107-124.

15. Saucier G. (1994) Mini Markers: A brief version of Goldberg's unipolar big-five markers. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 63, 506-516.

16. Kurtz J.E., & Sherker J.L. (2003) Relationship quality, trait similarity, and self-other agreement on personality ratings in college roommates. *Journal of Personality*, 71, 21-48.

17. Parker W. D., & Stumpf H. (1998) A validation of the five factor model of parsonality in academically talented youth across observer and instruments. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 25, 1005-1025.