8th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Industrial Management XX International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management International IIE Conference 2014 Málaga, Spain. July 23-25, 2014

# Dynamic multi-objective maximal covering location problem with gradual coverage

Forghani Youshanlo M<sup>1</sup>, Sahraeian R<sup>2</sup>

Abstract In this study, a dynamic multiple-objective model of maximal covering location problem has been presented in which the gradual coverage radius has been taken into account. The model has several aims, including: maximizing initial coverage, maximizing backup coverage, and minimizing the fixed and variable expenses related to the location, transportation vehicles, and variable demands in the course of programming. This model intends to find the best location for the centers of emergency services which can meet the maximum demands of present and future. In this model, the variables of backup and initial coverage have been presented fractionally in order to cover more demands. Then, an example with random numbers has been given and solved by LMOLP and FGP approaches. The obtained results show the superiority of FGP approaches.

Keywords: MCLP, FGP, Multi-objective models, Dynamic location;

## 1 Introduction

The increase in urban population and the changes in population dispersion have created numerous challenges in decision-making about the location of emergency services. Thus, creating systems of emergency services and establishing the related facilities have a pivotal role in the success of planning. The model of maximal covering location has a lot of applications in this field. In MCLP, the objective is to determine the location of a limited number of facilities in order to maximize the extent of coverage based on coverage radius (time and distance).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mohammad Forghani Youshanlo ( $\boxtimes$  e-mail: Mohammad\_Forghani66 @ yahoo.com) Department of Industrial Engineering, Najafabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Rashed Sahraeian ( $\boxtimes$  e-mail: sahraeian@shahed.ac.ir)

Department of Industrial Engineering, College of Engineering, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran

Determining the location of hospitals and emergency centers (Griffin et al, 2008), fire stations (Indriasari et al, 2010), and urban shelters (Wei et al, 2012) are among the applications of the model. In this study, another multiple-objective model of maximal covering location has been presented. Considering the variables of time and changing demands are among the features of the model. Populations and the extent of demands are continuously changing throughout the months and years in different places. Based on these changes, the number of transportation vehicles in the centers of emergency services must change. Also, making decisions about the location of these centers must be based on the dispersion of future demands. Determining the initial coverage and backup coverage in a fractional manner are among the other features of the model. In many models, coverage radius is determined in a fixed manner, which is not consistent with reality. In the presented model, coverage radius is gradual, not fixed. In addition, all facilities have limited capacities for offering services and covering the demands. The potential locations have fixed and variable expenses in the installation of facilities.

Up to now, different methods have been suggested for the solution of multipleobjective models, including: goal programming and weighted methods. The goal programming enables decision-makers to determine the level of expectations for each goal. Since in reality, it is difficult to find the expected figure exactly, the intended figures might not be found with assurance. In situations which the decision-makers cannot determine the objective figures, the fuzzy goal programming can help them.

#### 2 Literature Review

Up to now, many models have been suggested in this field. All these models have developed the basic models. In the field of multiple-objective models, (Araz et al, 2007) proposed a multi-objective model. The objectives considered in the model are maximization of the population covered by one vehicle, maximization of the population with backup coverage, and increasing the service level. (Beraldi and Bruni, 2009) suggested a probability model of the positioning of emergency transportation vehicles. This model seeks to minimize fixed and variable expenses related to the stationing of transportation vehicles. (Coskun and Erol, 2010) proposed a single-objective model whose focus was to minimize the expenses related to the stationing and the allocation of transportation vehicles. In the suggested models, the coverage radii are fixed, which creates a number of problems in their applications. The gradual coverage is a concept which helps to bring the models closer to the realities. The suggested model of the current study is a model with gradual coverage. (Berman et al, 2003) in their article proposed two coverage radii. In their model, the demands within the smaller radius were covered completely; the demands outside the bigger radius were not covered; and the demands between the two radii were covered according to a linear function.

2

Another effective factor which increased the efficiency of the model was the consideration of demand dispersion in each zone.

Organizations which offer emergency services are faced with two questions: where and how should the facilities and transportation vehicles be stationed in order to efficiently meet the demands of the present time and future? The majority of studies on maximal covering location are static, and the variable of time has not been taken into account in the process of positioning (Fazel Zarandi et al, 2013). Since demand dispersion changes throughout years, months, weeks, and even days, the dynamic positioning of emergency facilities can improve the quality of these services. (Ba,sar et al, 2011) suggested a dynamic model with doublecoverage approach for medical service stations. This single-objective model optimizes the level of demands which have been covered at least twice. (Fazel Zarandi et al, 2013) proposed a model of dynamic covering location. The aim of this model is to minimize the number of vehicles in each period based on coverage radius and demand dispersion pattern.

#### **3** Proposed Model

In the proposed model, the problem is considered in a discrete condition. The distances between the points are Euclidean. The expenses are considered to be fixed throughout time. Each demand point can be covered by one or more centers. Then, the parameters and variables are introduced.

*I*,*i*: The index and set of demand nodes; *J*,*j*: The index and set of potential zones; *T*,*t*: The index and set of time periods;  $r_1$ : Smaller radius;  $r_2$ : Bigger radius;  $d_{ij}$ : The shortest distance (time) from *i* to *j*; *K<sub>j</sub>*: The workload capacity for a facility in *j*;  $C_{ij}$ : Linear coverage function;  $f_j$ : Fixed costs;  $v_j$ : Variable costs (per-unit capacity cost);  $h_{it}$ : The population at node *i* in period *t*;  $S_j$ : Maximum number of vehicles in zone *j*;  $P_t$ : The number of vehicles to be located in period *t*;  $M_i$ : The set of zones that are within a distance less than  $r_2$  from *i*;  $U_{it}$ ; The fraction of population in node *i* covered by one vehicle in *t*;  $X_{jt}$ : The integer number of vehicles located at potential site *j* in period *t*;  $Z_{jt}$ : Equals 1 if potential site *j* is a station in period *t*; otherwise 0;  $W_{ijt}$ : The fraction of population in node *i* covered by zone *j* in period *t*;

The suggested model has several advantages over previous models. Firstly, it is multiple-objective model whose aims are maximizing the initial coverage, maximizing backup coverage, and minimizing expenses. Secondly, since population dispersion is not fixed throughout time, the variable of time has been taken as an important factor in order to prepare the ground for meeting the future demands. Thirdly,  $U_{it}$  and  $Y_{it}$  have been defined as continuous variables in order to make it possible that part of the demands of a zone are covered. Finally, in contrast to the previous models whose coverage radii are fixed, the coverage

radius in this model is gradual. In the following parts, the suggested mathematical model is explained.

$$Max \quad Z_{1} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} h_{it} \cdot Y_{it}$$
(1)

$$Max \quad Z_{2} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{I} h_{it} \cdot U_{it}$$
(2)

$$Max \quad Z_{3} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (f_{j} \cdot Z_{jt} + v_{j} \cdot X_{jt})$$
(3)

Subject to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} X_{jt} \leq P_{t} \qquad \forall t \in T, (P_{t} \leq P_{t+1}) \qquad (4)$$
$$X_{jt} \leq S_{j} \cdot Z_{jt} \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall j \in J \qquad (5)$$
$$Z_{jt} \leq X_{jt} \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall j \in J \qquad (6)$$
$$Z_{jt} \leq Z_{jt} \qquad \forall (t \geq 2) \in T, \forall i \in J \qquad (7)$$

$$U_{it} - q_{it} \le 0 \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I \qquad (9)$$

$$V_{it} - Y_{it} \le 0 \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I \qquad (9)$$

$$\sum_{i} W_{it} - Y_{it} - U_{it} \ge 0 \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I \qquad (10)$$

$$\sum_{j \in M_i}^{j \in I} \quad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I, \forall j \in M_i \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{I}{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{ijt} \cdot h_{it} \leq K_j \cdot X_{jt} \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall j \in J \qquad (12)$$
$$U_{it} \leq 1 \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I \qquad (13)$$

$$Y_{it} \leq 1 \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I \qquad (14)$$

$$\begin{cases} 1 \qquad d_{ii} \leq r_1 \end{cases}$$

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{(r_2 - d_{ij})}{(r_2 - r_1)} & r_1 < d_{ij} \le r_2 \\ 0 & d_{ij} > r_2 \end{cases} \quad \forall i \in I, \forall j \in J$$
(15)

$$X_{jt} \ge 0, \text{int eger} \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall j \in J \qquad (16)$$
  

$$Z_{jt} \in \{0,1\} \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall j \in J \qquad (17)$$
  

$$q_{it} \in \{0,1\} \qquad \forall t \in T, \forall i \in I \qquad (18)$$

In this model, the objective function (1) seeks to maximize the initial coverage. The objective function (2) seeks to maximize the backup coverage, while taking the initial coverage of demand zones into account. The objective function (3) intends to minimize the fixed and variable expenses related to the stationing of transportation vehicles. The constraint (4) shows that in the period t, at most  $P_t$ facilities can be stationed in the potential locations (j). Also, the maximum number of facilities in each period should be more than or equal to the number of facilities in the previous period  $(P_t \leq P_{t+1})$ . The constraints (5) and (6) show that if the potential location j in the period t is selected for the facility, at least one facility and at most  $S_i$  facilities can be allocated to this station. Constraint (7) shows that if the potential location *j* is selected as a location for stationing facilities, it is also selected in the following periods. In other words, if a station is built for a given period, it is also used in the following periods. The constraint (8) and (9) guarantee that  $U_{it}$  can be assigned value if  $Y_{it}=1$ . The constraint (10) shows the number of zones which are able to cover the demand point i. The constraint (11) guarantees that the demand points can be covered to a level equal to the coverage function  $C_{ii}$ , if the constraint (12) is met. In constraints (13) and (14),  $U_{it}$  and  $Y_{it}$ have defined as continuous variables within [0,1]. It can be observed that in this model, initial coverage and backup coverage are fractional. Constraint (15) shows the gradual coverage. Constraint (16) guarantees the possibility of stationing more than one facility in emergency service stations based on the capacity of stations. In constraint (17), the variable  $Z_{it}$  is equal to 1 if the location j in the period t is selected as a station; otherwise it is equal to zero. Based on constraint (18), the variable  $q_{it}$  is equal to 1, the backup coverage is made possible for that demand point.

#### 4 Solution Approach

In order to solve the suggested model, four approaches have been used. In lexicographic multi-objective linear programming (LMOLP) approach, the first objective is met completely at the beginning; then, the second and last objectives are met. In FGP approach, the objectives have no priority over each other. In additive fuzzy goal programming (Chen and Tsai, 2001) the first objective has priority over the second objective, and the second objective has priority over the third objective. In weighted additive fuzzy goal programming (Tiwari et al, 1987) the objectives have different weights and importance.

## 5 Computational Experience

In this section, an example with random numbers is presented. Then, the proposed model is solved and evaluated. The example is presented with 30 points within [0,45] by choosing random numbers and uniform distribution. In this example, in the first period, 5 transportation vehicles are allocated, and then 3 other vehicles are added in each following period. The model of the problem has been made by three FGP approaches as well as LMOLP approach. Based on the programming, in each one of the periods, the interval must be determined for each objective. In

table 1, the value of each objective in different periods have been shown, which are determined by decision-makers. Since the periods are dependent on each other, wrong decision on the intervals leads to a situation in which the problem cannot be solved. Also, by changing the intervals, the coverage priority of the population in different periods can be changed. In order to obtain the results, the problem is solved by lingo 11.0 optimization software. The results obtained by the solution of suggested model and approaches have been shown in table 2.

 Table 1Aspiration levels of fuzzy goals

objective	Period 1		Period 2		Period 3	
	upper	Lower	upper	Lower	upper	Lower
First coverage	250000	230000	410000	390000	570000	550000
Backup coverage	60000	40000	90000	70000	120000	100000
Cost	7000	4000	7000	4000	7000	4000

Table 2Results of the proposed model

Solution approach	$P^{I}$	$N^2$	The zones rep- resenting vehi-	Covered population by one vehicle	Covered population with	$CC^3$
11			cle locations	<i>,</i>	backup coverage	
LMOLP	1	5	8,9,11,23,24	254316 (81.77%)	1300 (0.42%)	4554
	2	8	6.8,9,11,23,	419521 (96.40%)	31392 (7.21%)	7059
			24,25,26			
	3	11	6,8,9,11,14,	570399 (98.52%)	53733 (9.28%)	7688
			23,24(2),25,			
			26(2)			
Total population				1244236 (93.89%)	86425 (6.52%)	
FGP	1	5	16,20,21,24,26	239627 (77.05%)	49627 (15.95%)	4066
	2	8	7,8,9,16,20,	391040 (89.86%)	71040 (16.32%)	6844
			21,24,26			
	3	11	7,8,9,16,20,21	550334 (95.06%)	100334 (17.33%)	6907
			(2),24(2),26(2)			
Total popula	tion			1181001 (89.12%)	221001 (16.68%)	
WA-FGP-T	1	5	8,16,19,24,	230445 (74.09%)	50153 (16.12%)	4042
			25			
	2	8	8,9,11,16,	395318 (90.84%)	72076 (16.56%)	5807
			19(2),24,25			
	3	11	7,8,9,11,16,19	560000 (96.73%)	100000 (17.27%)	6799
			(2),24(2),25(2)			
Total popula	tion			1185763 (89.48%)	222229 (16.77%)	
A-FGP-C	1	5	16,20,21,24,26	239627 (77.05%)	49627 (15.95%)	4066
	2	8	7,8,9,16,20,	391930 (90.06%)	71930 (16.53%)	6844
			21,24,26			
	3	11	7,8,9,16,20,21	550334 (95.06)	100334 (17.33%)	6907
			(2),24(2),26(2)			
Total population				1181891 (89.19%)	221891 (16.74%)	

<sup>1</sup> Period, <sup>2</sup> Number of vehicles, <sup>3</sup> Cumulative Cost

In LMOLP model, the initial coverage has been taken as the first objective with the highest priority, and the obtained value in the three periods is equal to 1244236 totally. The minimization of expenses in this method is the last priority. According to this solution, at the end of three periods, at least 7688 units are expended in order to establish service centers. In order to show how initial coverage variable (which is fractional) affects coverage increase, the suggested model has been solved by LMOLP approach and the binary variable of y. In this condition, the maximum of initial coverage throughout the total of three periods is equal to 1230544, which shows a substantial reduction compared to the main model. So, when the initial coverage is taken fractionally, the model becomes more flexible and the maximum demand is covered. Although LMOLP is superior in initial coverage, the backup coverage throughout the total of periods is equal to 86425, and only %6.25 of the population has backup coverage, which is not satisfactory. Therefore, lexicographic multiple-objective linear programming which is based on absolute priority is not efficient in backup coverage.

In fuzzy approaches, the backup coverage is improved substantially, although there is a little decrease in initial coverage. According to the obtained results from FGP, the percentage of backup coverage in the total of periods is equal to%16.68, while the initial coverage is equal to %89.12 totally. In other words, a %4.77 decrease in initial coverage (from %93.89 to %89.12) leads to an improvement equal to %10.16 (from %6.25 to %16.68). Compared to LMOLP method, the total of expenses of the three periods has decreased from 7688 to 6907. In WA-FGP-T approach, different weights show the relative importance of the goals. According to the obtained results, the WA-FGP-T approach leads to the best results among the different approaches. Programming for future demands can lead to the maximum coverage as well as a reduction in the expenses of stationing. It can be observed that in the first period, 25, 24, 19, 16, and 8 nodes were selected for five transportation vehicles. In the following period, two new stations have been created and other vehicle has been stationed in station 19. In the third period, only one new station has been created, and two other vehicles have been created in the previous established stations. In this way, this model can cover the maximum level of future demands based on the changes in the congestion and with the minimum amount of expenses. The A-FGP-C approach has a structure similar to LMOLP method, but the priorities are not absolute. Although this approach does not determine the priorities of the goals, it guarantees that a fuzzy goal with highest priority has been achieved with the highest level. The obtained results from this approach are similar to the obtained results from FGP.

#### 6 Conclusion and Future Researches

In this article, a dynamic multiple-objective fuzzy model of maximal covering location problem with gradual coverage radius has been presented. In addition to initial coverage, the backup coverage objective, fixed expenses of establishing stations and variable expenses of facility stationing have been taken into account. Taking the coverage radius as a gradual factor and taking the initial coverage as a fractional factor brings the model closer to reality. The efficiency of the model is evaluated by presenting an example with random numbers and its solution by different approaches. The obtained results show that the suggested model is closer to the reality when it is compared with other models. Also, the FGP approaches are more efficient for the solution of location problem. As the proposed model is highly complex, it is suggested that heuristic and meta-heuristic methods are developed for the solution of the problem in large scales. In addition to variable demands, other factors such as expenses can be taken as variables for future researches.

# **6** References

- Araz C, Selim H, Özkarahan I (2007) A fuzzy multi-objective covering-based vehicle location model for emergency services. Computers & Operations Research 34:705–726
- Ba, sar A, Çatay B, Ünlüyurt T (2011) A multi-period double coverage approach for locating the emergency medical service stations in Istanbul. J of Oper Res Soc 62:627–637
- Beraldi P, Bruni ME (2009) A probabilistic model applied to emergency service vehicle location. European J of Operational Research 196:323–331
- Berman O, Krass D, Drezner Z (2003) The gradual covering decay location problem on a network. European J of Operational Research 151:474–80
- Chen LH, Tsai FC (2001) Fuzzy goal programming with different importance and priorities. European J of Operation Research 133:548–56
- Coskun N, Erol R (2010) An optimization model for locating and sizing emergency medical service stations. J Med Syst 34:43–49
- Fazel Zarandi MH, Davari S, Haddad Sisakht SA (2013) The large-scale dynamic maximal covering location problem. Mathematical and Computer Modelling 57:710–719
- Griffin PM, Scherre CR, Swann JL (2008) Optimization of community health center locations service offerings with statistical need estimation. IIE Transactions 40:880–892
- Indriasari V, Mahmud AR, Ahmad N, Shariff ARM (2010) Maximal service area problem for optimal siting of emergency facilities. Int J of Geographical Inf Science 24:213–230
- Rajagopalan HK, Saydam C, Xiao J (2008) A multi-period set covering location model for dynamic redeployment of ambulances. Computer Operation Research 35:814–826
- Tiwari RN, Dharmar S, Rao JR (1987) Fuzzy goal programming–an additive method. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 24:27–34
- Wei L, Li W, Li K, Liu H, Cheng L (2012) Decision support for urban shelter locations based on covering model. Int Symposium on safety science and engineering in china 43:59–64